1. Garbage Collection Algorithms

- 1. Mark-and-Sweep Algorithm
 - Process:
 - 1. **Mark phase**: Traverse the object graph starting from GC Roots (local variables, static fields, etc.), mark all reachable (alive) objects.
 - 2. **Sweep phase**: Scan heap, reclaim (delete) all unmarked objects.
 - **Pros**: Simple, doesn't need moving objects.
 - Cons: Causes memory fragmentation, which can slow down future allocations.

2. Replication (Copying) Algorithm

• Process:

- Divide memory into two equal semi-spaces.
- Allocate objects in one space.
- When GC happens, copy all live objects to the other space and clean up the first one.
- **Pros**: Eliminates fragmentation, allocation is fast (sequential).
- **Cons**: Wastes half of the memory, copying overhead when many live objects.

3. Mark-Clear (Mark-Compact) Algorithm

- Process:
 - First mark live objects.
 - Then instead of sweeping, move live objects together (compact) to eliminate fragmentation.
- **Pros**: Solves fragmentation problem of mark-sweep.
- Cons: Higher overhead because objects must be moved.

4. Generational Collection Algorithm

Idea: Objects have different lifetimes, so use different algorithms for different generations.

- Young Generation: Most objects die quickly → use copying algorithm (fast).
- Old Generation: Objects live long → use mark-sweep or mark-compact.

 Pros: Matches real-world object lifetime patterns, improves efficiency.

2. Garbage Collectors in HotSpot JVM

- 1. Serial Collector
 - Single-threaded collector.
 - Uses stop-the-world (pauses all application threads).
 - Young gen: Copying algorithm.
 - Old gen: Mark-Compact.
 - Use case: Single-core CPU, small heap.

2. ParNew Collector

- Multi-threaded version of Serial collector.
- Young gen: Copying algorithm.
- Often used with CMS for old generation.

3. Parallel Scavenge Collector

- Focused on throughput (maximize work done vs. GC time).
- Young gen: Copying algorithm, multi-threaded.
- Has adaptive tuning: JVM automatically adjusts GC behavior for performance.
- Use case: Background tasks, batch jobs.

4. Serial Old Collector

- Old generation version of **Serial collector**.
- Uses mark-compact.
- Backup for CMS when concurrent collection fails.

5. Parallel Old Collector

- Old gen companion to **Parallel Scavenge**.
- Multi-threaded mark-compact.
- Good for throughput-focused applications.

6. CMS (Concurrent Mark-Sweep) Collector:

- Old generation collector.
- Aims to reduce pause time.
- Steps:
 - 1. Initial mark (short stop-the-world).

- 2. Concurrent mark (application still running).
- 3. Remark (short stop-the-world).
- 4. Concurrent sweep.
- Pros: Low pause time.
- Cons: Memory fragmentation, CPU overhead.

7. G1 (Garbage-First) Collector

- Splits heap into **regions** instead of fixed young/old.
- Collects regions with most garbage first.
- Uses mark-compact (with region-based compaction).
- **Pros**: Predictable pause time, avoids fragmentation.
- Default GC in modern JDK (since Java 9).

8. ZGC (Z Garbage Collector) (Almost all GC work is concurrent with main program)

- Low-latency GC (pause times < 10ms, regardless of heap size).
- Works with huge heaps (TB scale).
- Uses colored pointers and load barriers to manage memory concurrently.
- Pros: Extremely short pause times, scales well.
- Cons: Higher CPU/memory overhead compared to simpler GCs.

Summary Table

GC Algorithm	Used In	Pros	Cons
Mark-Swe ep	Old gen	Simple	Fragmentation
Copying	Young gen	Fast, no fragmentation	Wastes memory

Mark-Co Old No Slower (object mpact gen fragmentation moving)

Generatio All Efficient, Complexity nal practical

Collector Characteristics **Target** Serial Small Single-threaded, heap simple **ParNew** Young Multi-threaded Serial gen Parallel Young Throughput-orient ed Scavenge gen

Serial Old Old gen Single-threaded, backup

Parallel Old Old gen Multi-threaded, throughput

CMS	Old gen	Low latency, concurrent
G1	Whole heap	Region-based, balanced
ZGC	Whole	Ultra-low pause,

heap scalable